

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 041768

72

ORIGIN L-02

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 EB-07 OIC-02 IO-10 AID-05 CEA-01

CIAE-00 COME-00 EA-06 FRB-03 INR-07 NEA-09 NSAE-00

OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-01 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01

FEA-01 PM-03 H-01 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SS-15 ERDA-05

FPC-01 INT-05 SAM-01 OES-03 STR-01 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 /120 R

DRAFTED BY L:SMSCHWEBEL:EMB

APPROVED BY EB:TOENDERS

EUR/RPE - MR. TARRANT

EUR/NE - MISS PINKNEY

EB/ORF/FSE - MR. MARTIN

----- 028246

O 242353Z FEB 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION OECD PARIS IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY OSLO

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 041768

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG, OECD

SUBJECT: IEA: NORWEGIAN PARTICIPATION

REF: (A) OECD PARIS 04559

(B) STATE 040592

1. IN RESPONSE TO IEA SECRETARIAT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS
IN WRITING ON ITS PROPOSAL FOR NORWEGIAN VOTING RIGHTS,
REQUEST MISSION TO CONVEY TEXT IN PARA. 2 THIS MESSAGE.
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 041768

IN ORAL EXCHANGES WITH SECRETARIAT, DELS, AND AT AD
HOC COMMITTEE MEETING FEBRUARY 25, THE APPROACH SET
OUT IN REFTEL (B) SHOULD BE MAINTAINED.

2. "(A) THE VIEWS OF THE USG ON THE PROPOSALS OF THE SECRETARIAT FOR NORWEGIAN VOTING RIGHTS FLOW FROM TWO CONSIDERATIONS: FIRST, THAT NORWAY SHOULD PARTICIPATE AS FULLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE WORK OF THE IEA; SECOND, THAT SINCE NORWAY HAS CHOSEN NOT TO ACCEDE FULLY TO THE IEP, BUT TO ADHERE ONLY TO CERTAIN CHAPTERS OF THE IEP AGREEMENT, ITS PARTICIPATION SHOULD BE CONSONANT WITH THE TERMS OF ITS LIMITED ASSOCIATION WITH THE IEA. IT SHOULD NOT BE ACCORDED RIGHTS THAT ARE DISPROPORTIONATE TO ITS OBLIGATIONS. IN PARTICULAR, IT SHOULD NOT BE PLACED IN A POSITION WHERE ITS VIEWS MAY EXERT A DECISIVE

INFLUENCE ON THE COURSE OF ACTION THE IEA DECIDES TO FOLLOW OR NOT TO FOLLOW.

(B) THE USG FAVORS AFFORDING NORWAY A VOTE ON THE IEA BUDGET (ARTICLE 64). IT ALSO FAVORS NORWAY'S VOTING ON SPECIAL ACTIVITIES IN WHICH IT PARTICIPATES UNDER ARTICLE 65.

(C) THE USG DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR NORWAY TO PARTICIPATE IN VOTING ON DECISIONS UNDER CHAPTERS I-IV OF THE AGREEMENT. IN PARTICULAR, NORWAY SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO VOTE ON QUESTIONS OF MAINTENANCE OF STOCKS OR STANDBY CAPACITY, AND ACTIVATION (ESPECIALLY ARTICLES 19 AND 22). MORE GENERALLY, IN RESPECT OF THE WHOLE AGREEMENT, NORWAY SHOULD NOT BE ENTITLED TO VOTE IN ANY DECISIONS REQUIRING UNANIMITY, THOUGH NORWAY SHOULD NOT BE BOUND BY SUCH DECISIONS UNLESS IT CHOOSES TO ADHERE TO THEM.

(D) IN RESPECT OF CHAPTERS V-VIII, THE USG VIEW IS THAT NORWAY SHOULD NOT BE PLACED IN A POSITION TO EXERCISE A DECISIVE VOICE, BECAUSE, EVEN THOUGH IT HAS ADHERED TO THESE CHAPTERS, IT HAS NOT ACCEPTED THE FULL OBLIGATIONS OF THE AGREEMENT, WHICH IS A BALANCED WHOLE. AT THE SAME TIME, SINCE NORWAY WILL BENEFIT

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 041768

FROM PARTICIPATION IN AGENCY ACTIVITIES UNDER CHAPTERS V-VIII, IT SHOULD HAVE THE SAME OBLIGATIONS UNDER THIS PART OF THE AGREEMENT AS THE PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES. AT MOST, THE USG COULD CONTEMPLATE NORWAY BEING ACCORDED VOTING RIGHTS UNDER CHAPTERS V-VIII ON DECISIONS WHICH REQUIRE A MAJORITY.

(E) IN THE LIGHT OF THESE VIEWS, THE USG IS PREPARED, IN RESPECT OF SECRETARIAT PROPOSALS, TO ACCEPT PREAMBULAR PARAS. 1-4; TO ACCEPT OPERATIVE PARAS. 1, 2 AND 3; HOWEVER, NOT TO ACCEPT OPERATIVE PARA. 4(A). IF NORWAY IS TO TAKE PART IN ANY DECISIONS UNDER CHAPTERS I-IV, WHICH THE USG DOES NOT FAVOR,

THEN THE LIMITED EXTENT WHICH IT MAY BE ACCORDED THE
RIGHT TO DO SO MUST BE CAREFULLY CIRCUMSCRIBED IN
VIEW OF THE TERMS OF EACH ARTICLE OF THOSE CHAPTERS
SO AS, E.G., TO EXCLUDE VOTING UNDER ARTICLES 19(3)
AND 22. USG SIMILARLY DOES NOT FEEL ABLE TO ACCEPT
PARA. 4(B). IT BELIEVES IT APPROPRIATE THAT NORWAY
VOTE UNDER ARTS. 64 AND 65; OTHERWISE IT PREFERS THAT
IT NOT VOTE, AND CERTAINLY NOT ON ANY DECISIONS REQUIRING
UNANIMITY. IN RESPECT OF PARA. 5, USG PREFERS TO
AMEND THE AGREEMENT TO ACCORD NORWAY THE VOTING RIGHTS

IT IS AGREED TO ACCORD IT AND, IN THAT REGARD, FAVORS
THE SECRETARIAT'S PROPOSED VOTING WEIGHT OF 3 GENERAL
AND COMBINED VOTING WEIGHTS. IN RESPONSE TO PARA.
6, IT IS IN AGREEMENT." KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 24 FEB 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: buchantr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE041768
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: L:SMSCHWEBEL:EMB
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750286/abbrznsi.tel
Line Count: 126
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) OECD PARIS 04559 (B) STATE 040592
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: buchantr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 21 MAY 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21 MAY 2003 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <08 OCT 2003 by buchantr>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: IEA: NORWEGIAN PARTICIPATION
TAGS: ENRG, OECD
To: OECD PARIS INFO LONDON
OSLO
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006